

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,514	09/05/2003	John P. Schromen	P06363US00 8029		
22885 73	590 07/15/2004		EXAMINER		
-	ORHEES & SEASE,	KOVACS, ARPAD F			
801 GRAND A	VENUE				
SUITE 3200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DES MOINES,	IA 50309-2721		3671		

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	₽.V			
	10/656,514	SCHROMEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Árpád Fábián Kovács	3671				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror . cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed lys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communicat ED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	tion.			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M	lay 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits	is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 14-22</u> is/are pending in the a	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10, 14-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	l(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,	, (=, =, (-,				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau		od III tillo Hatloriai Otago				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Act		Part of Paper No./Mail Date 7082	 2004			

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claim(s) 1-3, 6-8, 14-22 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated

by Wagner, Jr (3858814).

Wagner discloses:

Claims 1, 6:

first & second track assembly / sprockets (col. 2, In 25-26; ref 22 & 24 & lower ends); lift assembly chain/belt trained around the upper & lower ends (col. 2, In 29; ref 26, 28) & having front & rear belt (chain) surface (fig 1); power/drive source to drive at least one of the upper and lower ends (col. 2, In 28);

Claims 2, 3, 7, 8:

Art Unit: 3671

frame (col. 2, ln 15); vanes/paddles (ref 36) independently adjustable (fig 4; col. 3, ln 15-17);

Claims 14-19:

belt trained around the upper & lower ends (col. 2, In 29; ref 26, 28) & having front & rear belt (chain) surface (fig 1);

1st & 2nd pit & channel (at ref 34), moving the belt assembly along the length of the pit & both directions (one upward & another downward the belt/chain).

As applied to claim(s) 14-22, in view of the structure disclosed/taught by Wagner, the method of operating/using the device is inherent since it is the normal and logical manner in which the device is used.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

指国际语言主义的 经特别的 计磁路 经通过支票的 医抗静脉阻抗 计自己证明

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3671

4. Claim(s) 4-5, 9-10 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wagner, Jr (3858814), in view of Merten et al (5641058).

Wagner discloses the claimed device except for showing a hydraulic belt/chain tightener.

Merten discloses that it is known in the art to provide a hydraulic tightener for endless belts in the form of chains or the like (it is noted that chains are known to be a sub group of belts as taught by Merten).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the belt of Wagner with the teachings of Merten, in order to utilize an improved and more accurate belt tightener so that an optimum chain/belt tension is set automatically (Wagner: Abstract).

Art Unit: 3671

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 5/26/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Examiner reviewed Applicant's arguments & amendment, it is noted however, that Wagner's does meet the limitations claimed, although the amendment sets forth now a forward direction the device is moving, however it does not change the claim in regards to the elements claimed, such as the claim still recites an endless conveyor belt assembly with one power source, which conveyor belt assembly capable of lifting up material and depositing it at its upper end. It is further noted that belt tensioning devices such as the one taught by Merten also well known to be used on any belt assembly, thus further meeting limitations recited in the dependent claims. As outlined above limitations of the claims are clearly met by the prior art.

Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3671

S,514 Page 6

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Árpád Fábián Kovács whose telephone number is 703-308-5897. The examiner can normally be reached on Mo-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 703 308 3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Árpád Fábián Kovács Primary Examiner Art Unit 3671

ÁFK